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Myocardial Lineage Development

Sylvia M. Evans, Deborah Yelon, Frank L. Conlon, Margaret L. Kirby

Abstract: The myocardium of the heart is composed of multiple highly specialized myocardial lineages, including those of the ventricular and atrial myocardium, and the specialized conduction system. Specification and maturation of each of these lineages during heart development is a highly ordered, ongoing process involving multiple signaling pathways and their intersection with transcriptional regulatory networks. Here, we attempt to summarize and compare much of what we know about specification and maturation of myocardial lineages from studies in several different vertebrate model systems. To date, most research has focused on early specification, and although there is still more to learn about early specification, less is known about factors that promote subsequent maturation of myocardial lineages required to build the functioning adult heart. (Circ Res. 2010;107:1428-1444.)
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The cardiomyocyte is the fundamental work unit of the heart. Although cardiomyocyte cell fate is a developmental end point, a diversity of cardiomyocyte subtypes exist within the heart. These include the outflow tract, right ventricle, left ventricle, atria, atrioventricular (AV) node, and His-Purkinje tracts. The recent interest in production of cardiomyocytes for repair of the diseased heart has heightened the importance of a detailed understanding of cardiac lineage specification and differentiation.

To provide context for understanding the locations, contributions, and patterning of progenitor cells, this review begins with a brief overview of the “basics” of heart development in mouse, chick, frog, and fish and then discusses aspects of myocardial development under the 2 broad headings of “species,” dealing with patterning and growth of undifferentiated myocyte progenitors, and “differentiation,” dealing with subspecialization and growth of distinct myocyte lineages following differentiation. Buckingham and Vincent have recently published an excellent review of similar information, and we refer the reader to the figure in that review for signaling and transcriptional network diagrams. A glossary of terms used in this review has been supplied as an Online Data Supplement, available at http://circres.ahajournals.org.

Basic Mouse and Chick Heart Development

Before gastrulation, both chick and mouse embryos are composed of 2 cell layers, epiblast and hypoblast (chick) or primitive endoderm (mouse). The epiblast contributes all embryonic and some extraembryonic tissues. Regional expression of genes and cell fate mapping suggest that embry-
onic anterior–posterior and left–right axes are established before gastrulation.\textsuperscript{2} Fate-mapping experiments have demonstrated that heart precursors are located in the posterior/caudal epiblast and will be adjacent to the anterior/cranial two-thirds of the primitive streak when it forms, making heart progenitors among the earliest embryonic cells to gastrulate (Figure 1 and the Table).\textsuperscript{3,4} Formation of the 3 germ layers, ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm, results from ingestion of epiblast cells through the primitive streak at gastrulation.\textsuperscript{5} Early in gastrulation (embryonic day [E]6.5, mouse; Hamilton–Hamburger [HH] stage 3, chick), the primitive streak elongates cranially until midgastrulation, at which time most cardiac progenitors ingress.\textsuperscript{6,7} Progenitor cells of pharyngeal and foregut endoderm are localized next to cardiogenic mesoderm progenitors in the epiblast, migrating through the early to mid–primitive streak to integrate with extraembryonic genitors in the epiblast, and the most cranial-lateral cells in the cardiogenic fields ventrocaudally resulting in an inversion of cardiogenic mesoderm.\textsuperscript{9} Following ingestion, cardiogenic mesoderm moves anterolaterally as a sheet of cells and, by late primitive streak stages, is localized as identifiable bilateral “fields” in anterior lateral plate mesoderm (chick) or as a cardiac crescent (mouse).\textsuperscript{6,9}

During gastrulation, the cranio-caudal arrangement of progenitors is shifted 90\degree: the most cranial cells in the epiblast become the most medial in the mesoderm, and the most caudal cells in the epiblast become the most lateral in the mesoderm.\textsuperscript{9,10} The chick embryo undergoes an extended period in which the bilateral heart fields remain as progenitors and do not show signs of differentiation until they move to the midline.\textsuperscript{11,12} In contrast, in the mouse, the cardiogenic fields move quickly to the midline, anterior to the forming neural plate, where they join to form a cardiac crescent of differentiating cardiomyocytes. In both chick and mouse, embryo folding to form the foregut pocket carries the cranial cells in the cardiogenic fields ventrocaudally resulting in an inversion of cardiogenic mesoderm.\textsuperscript{10} The cranial-lateral borders of the heart fields meet at E8.0 (HH9) to form the ventral seam of the early heart “tube,” which is at this stage more accurately described as a myocardial trough open dorsally toward the ventral pharynx.\textsuperscript{10,13,14}

It is not until the tube closes dorsally and its attachment to the ventral pharynx, called the primary dorsal mesocardium, breaks down that an actual tube is formed. Partial rupture of the dorsal mesocardium allows the tube to be detached from the ventral pharynx except at its venous (caudal, inflow) and arterial (cranial, outflow) poles.\textsuperscript{15} The early myocardial tube comprises primarily cells destined to be the left ventricle with a little right ventricle and AV canal represented. The myocardium that will form the majority of the right ventricle, conus, and truncus are all added via the arterial pole, whereas the AV canal, atria, and atrial septum are added to the venous pole.\textsuperscript{10} Heart contractions can be seen as early as formation of the trough (E8.25, HH10), although anterograde circulation does not begin until after the tube has formed and begins to loop.\textsuperscript{16,17}

The heart tube loops to the right (E8.5, HH11), coincident with disappearance of the dorsal mesocardium. Initial looping is intrinsic to the myocardium, followed by forces generated in the dorsal mesocardium just before it disappears.\textsuperscript{18–20} Much of the lengthening of the tube is attributable to addition of newly differentiated myocardium at the arterial and venous poles. With progressive growth of the tube and specification of the chambers, the atria, initially located caudal to the ventricles, become displaced cranial to the ventricles.

In the chick, myocardial progenitors proliferate at a very high rate, with a cycle time of \(\approx 5\) hours.\textsuperscript{21} However, as soon as myocardial differentiation begins, the cells cease cycling for an extended period. Presumably, this allows differentiation and onset of function. Growth of the tubular heart ensues primarily from progressive addition of cells from the remaining progenitor pool.\textsuperscript{21} Retrospective clonal lineage analysis recognizes first and second lineages roughly corresponding to the first and second heart fields, based on their timing of differentiation and entry into the heart.\textsuperscript{22} The cells of the first heart field are the first to differentiate and contribute to the left ventricle, AV canal, and atria. The second heart field constitutes a highly proliferative progenitor population that contributes progressively to the remainder of the heart.\textsuperscript{21} These 2 populations of cells can be identified molecularly by expression of differentiation versus specification markers, but because the process is progressive, there is not a definitive morphological boundary between them. Two additional named subpopulations of the second heart field were identified using different techniques. Gene trap-
Figure 1. Schematic representation of heart tube formation in mouse (a through c) and chick (d through f). First heart progenitors are shown in blue and second heart progenitors in red. 

First heart progenitors are shown in blue and second heart progenitors in red. 

a, Lateral view of an E6.5 mouse embryo. 

b, Ventral view of an E8.5 mouse embryo showing the cardiac crescent and its relationship with the anterior intestinal portal (curved black line). 

c, Ventral view of an E9.5 mouse embryo. 

d, Dorsal view of an HH5 chick embryo. 

b and e, Fusion and differentiation of the heart fields is more rapid in the mouse, leading to a cardiac crescent that is not seen in the chick. Second heart progenitors are located medially in both chick and mouse heart fields but quickly change positions to cranial as the heart fields converge on the midline. 

b, Ventral view of an E8.5 mouse embryo showing the cardiac crescent and its relationship with the anterior intestinal portal (curved black line). 

e, Ventral view of an HH8 chick embryo showing convergence of the heart fields in the ventral midline and how the first and second heart field progenitors have rotated their position from mediolateral to craniocaudal. 

c and f, Second heart progenitors are gradually added to the elongating cardiac tube. 

c, Ventral view of an E9.5 mouse embryo. 

f, Ventral view of an HH12 chick embryo. 

The caudal second heart progenitors are shifted by formation of the foregut pocket and anterior intestinal portal (curved black line) to cranial, thus putting them in place to contribute to the outflow pole. Some of the second heart field progenitors are also added to the venous pole: parts of the atrium and atrial septum, but these are incorporated later than the stages shown; hence, no red cells are seen at these stages in the venous pole. The proximal and distal outflow myocardium is added over an extended period of time. Reproduced from Abu-Issa and Kirby218 with permission from Annual Reviews.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of key stages in heart tube formation in frog (a through c) and fish (d through f). 

a and d, Fate-mapping studies have defined the locations of frog and fish heart tube fields before gastrulation. 

b and e, Fate maps and the expression patterns of molecular markers have demonstrated the locations of the bilateral heart fields in both frog and fish. 

b, Anterior–ventral view of a Xenopus midtadpole, anterior to the right, indicating the locations of ventricular myocardial progenitors (red) and atrial myocardial progenitors (blue) before cardiac fusion. 

Notochord is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

c and f, Expression patterns of molecular markers have indicated the existence of discrete myocardial subpopulations as the heart tube forms. 

Cement gland is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

Notochord is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

a, Lateral view of a 32-cell-stage Xenopus embryo, dorsal to the right, indicating the blastomeres (red) that will give rise to descendants in the adult heart. 

d, Lateral view of a zebrafish embryo at the 40% epiboly stage, dorsal to the right, indicating the approximate locations of the blastomeres (red) that will give rise to the myocardium. 

b, Anterior–ventral view of a Xenopus midtadpole, anterior to the top, just before the fusion of the heart fields (red) at the ventral midline. 

Cement gland is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

Note: In Xenopus, unlike zebrafish, the atrial and ventricular precursor populations are not distinct populations, but rather the precursors are intermingled until very late in heart development. 

e, Dorsal view of a zebrafish embryo at the 7-somite stage, anterior to the top, indicating the locations of ventricular myocardial progenitors (red) and atrial myocardial progenitors (blue) before cardiac fusion. 

Notochord is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

c and f, Expression patterns of molecular markers have indicated the existence of discrete myocardial subpopulations as the heart tube forms. 

Cement gland is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

Notochord is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

b, Anterior–ventral view of a Xenopus midtadpole, anterior to the top, just before the fusion of the heart fields (red) at the ventral midline. 

Cement gland is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

Note: In Xenopus, unlike zebrafish, the atrial and ventricular precursor populations are not distinct populations, but rather the precursors are intermingled until very late in heart development. 

e, Dorsal view of a zebrafish embryo at the 7-somite stage, anterior to the top, indicating the locations of ventricular myocardial progenitors (red) and atrial myocardial progenitors (blue) before cardiac fusion. 

Notochord is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

c and f, Expression patterns of molecular markers have indicated the existence of discrete myocardial subpopulations as the heart tube forms. 

Cement gland is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

Notochord is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

b, Anterior–ventral view of a Xenopus midtadpole, anterior to the top, just before the fusion of the heart fields (red) at the ventral midline. 

Cement gland is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

Note: In Xenopus, unlike zebrafish, the atrial and ventricular precursor populations are not distinct populations, but rather the precursors are intermingled until very late in heart development. 

e, Dorsal view of a zebrafish embryo at the 7-somite stage, anterior to the top, indicating the locations of ventricular myocardial progenitors (red) and atrial myocardial progenitors (blue) before cardiac fusion. 

Notochord is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

c and f, Expression patterns of molecular markers have indicated the existence of discrete myocardial subpopulations as the heart tube forms. 

Cement gland is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

Notochord is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

b, Anterior–ventral view of a Xenopus midtadpole, anterior to the top, just before the fusion of the heart fields (red) at the ventral midline. 

Cement gland is labeled in black and eyes are labeled in gray. 

Note: In Xenopus, unlike zebrafish, the atrial and ventricular precursor populations are not distinct populations, but rather the precursors are intermingled until very late in heart development.
atresia, which are key components of tetralogy of Fallot. This right leads to overriding aorta and pulmonary stenosis or pulmonary trunk. The smooth muscle that forms the base of the trabeculae. Myocardium of the outflow tract and AV canals counts for “ballooning” of the ventricles and establishment of anterograde circulation is established. This proliferation accompanied by cessation of proliferation, chamber myocardial progenitors cause the same phenotype. The progenitor population that contributes to the definitive arterial pole, comprised of truncal myocardium and smooth muscle that will form the tunica media at the base of the great arterial trunks, is located in the ventral pharynx behind the outflow tract. The myocardial cells are added in 2 spirals that become the myocardial underpinning of the aorta and pulmonary trunk. The smooth muscle that forms the base of the arterial trunks is added after the myocardium and does not spiral. Ablation of chick arterial pole progenitors on the right leads to overriding aorta and pulmonary stenosis or atresia, which are key components of tetralogy of Fallot. Manipulations that interfere with proliferation of arterial pole progenitors cause the same phenotype.

Although initial differentiation of myocardial cells is accompanied by cessation of proliferation, chamber myocardial cells reinitiate a proliferative phase at about HH14 after anterograde circulation is established. This proliferation accounts for “ballooning” of the ventricles and establishment of the trabeculae. Myocardium of the outflow tract and AV canal is relatively nonproliferative. The early trabecular ventricular myocardium of the embryonic heart undergoes growth and compaction to result in the final form of the ventricular myocardial wall.

At approximately E9.5 (HH15), the outflow tract and AV cushions form, which initially block retrograde blood flow and later contribute to septation of these regions. Formation of the cushions is succeeded by growth of the muscular ventricular septum and formation of the primary atrial septum by ingrowth of myocardializing mesoderm in the dorsal mesocardial protrusion from progenitors located dorsal to the common atrium. At E10 (HH24), cardiac neural crest cells migrate into the distal outflow cushions, where they form the aorticommissural pulmonary septation complex of condensed mesenchyme. The neural crest-derived mesenchyme orchestrates septation of the aorta and pulmonary trunk and the distal outflow tract. Closure of the proximal outflow tract is by canal and AV cushion tissue after the distal septum has formed. Septation into 4 chambers is completed by E14.5 (HH34). Remodeling of outflow tract and AV cushions to form valves is an ongoing process that continues postnatally.

Cells from the proepicardium give rise to the epicardial epithelium covering the heart. The proepicardium arises from septum transversum mesenchyme near the venous pole of the heart and is evident from approximately E9.5 (HH16) to E10.5. Once formed, the epicardial cells contribute a number of cell types to the heart, including smooth muscle cells of the coronary vessels, cardiac fibroblasts, and, potentially, a subset of cardiomyocytes, although the latter is controversial.

### Frog and Fish Heart Development

The early stages of frog and fish heart formation bear a strong resemblance to the early stages of mouse and chick heart development, despite the differences in embryonic anatomy between species. In this section, we highlight major stages of *Xenopus* and zebrafish heart development that correspond to the phases of mouse and chick development described above (Table 1). This correspondence guides our understanding of the conserved elements of the genetic pathways that regulate myocardial progenitor specification and differentiation.

Classic fate-mapping studies in *Xenopus* demonstrated that 3 hours after fertilization, a pair of blastomeres in the dorsal equatorial zone is fated to give rise to the adult heart (Figure 2a). As with all mesolecithal embryos, *Xenopus* cells do not undergo extensive cell mixing during the early stages of embryogenesis; thus, by the onset of gastrulation (stage 10), the descendants of these 2 blastomeres give rise to a coherent bilateral population of cells in direct contact with the organizer and underlying endoderm. Fate-mapping studies in the zebrafish early blastula found myocardial and endocardial progenitors at the ventrolateral margin of the embryo. The distribution of progenitors at the embryonic margin shifts slightly over time, such that the myocardial progenitors are located in bilaterally marginal zones at the onset of zebrafish gastrulation (Figure 2d). Following gastrulation, the myocardial progenitor population coalesces into distinct bilateral positions within the anterior lateral plate mesoderm (Figure 2e).

Myocardial differentiation and heart tube formation begin contemporaneously in both frog and fish. In *Xenopus*, as shown by DiI labeling and single-cell PCR, the heart field is comprised of an amalgamation of progenitors that include cells that will give rise to either the future atrium or ventricle.

### Table. Landmarks in Heart Development in the Models Discussed in This Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Zebrafish (hpf)</th>
<th>Frog (N&amp;F)</th>
<th>Chick (HH)</th>
<th>Mouse (Embryonic Day)</th>
<th>Human (Days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrulation</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart fields</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12/13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart trough</td>
<td>19 (cone)</td>
<td>29/30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart tube</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29/31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial contraction</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32/33</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterograde circulation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous pole addition</td>
<td>24–72</td>
<td>32/34</td>
<td>14–18</td>
<td>8.5–12.25</td>
<td>25–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proepicardium</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>28–35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N&F indicates Nieuwkoop and Faber stage; ND, not determined.
Just before fusion, the fields uniformly initiate expression of the first markers of cardiomyocyte differentiation, including troponin T, myosin light chain (MLC)2a, and myosin heavy chain (MHC)α (stage 26/27; Figure 2b).48,49 As in chick, a marked decrease in the cardiac mitotic index accompanies the formation of myofibrils and the expression of markers of terminal cardiomyocyte differentiation.50,51 However, differentiation of cardiomyocytes is not directly linked to cell cycle withdrawal because blocking the cell cycle from early stages (before stage 24) has no effect on timing of cardiomyocyte differentiation in whole embryos or in cardiac explants.51,52

By early tadpole stages (stage 29/30), the midline heart field begins to round up at its lateral edges to form a myocardial trough that eventually covers the endocardial tube. The trough then gradually closes in a caudal-to-cranial fashion over the dorsal surface of the endocardium such that by midtadpole stage (stage 32), the heart comprises a bilayered linear heart tube.48,49

In zebrafish, myocardial differentiation initiates within the bilateral heart fields by 16 hours postfertilization (hpf), as evidenced by the expression of genes encoding sarcomere components, such as cardiac myosin light chain 2.53 Time-lapse studies have tracked the migration of these bilateral sheets of cardiomyocytes as they travel toward the midline to create a ring of cells, referred to as the cardiac cone, through a process termed cardiac fusion (Figure 2f).54 The myocardial cone engulfs a centrally located sheet of endocardial precursors, and together these structures gradually elongate to transform from a shallow conical structure into a 2-layered primitive heart tube.55,56

The frog and fish heart tubes transform into looped, multichambered organs through a combination of morphogenesis and growth. By stage 35, the *Xenopus* heart begins to loop; shortly thereafter, its chambers begin to adopt distinct morphological features, including thickening and trabeculation of the ventricular myocardium. In the frog at stage 45/46, septation of the atrium completes formation of the mature 3-chambered heart.48,49 Although there is a continual decrease in hyperplastic growth during these stages, the number of cardiomyocytes continues to increase, implying that new cardiomyocytes are added through the recruitment of cells from extracardiac sources.49–51 Indeed, recent fate-mapping studies in *Xenopus* support the existence of a second heart field that arises from a pool of cardiac progenitors coexpressing Isl-1 (Islet1) and Nkx2.5. A subset of these cells later downregulate Isl-1 while maintaining Nkx2.5 expression, thus establishing the first and second heart field. In addition to Isl-1, the *Xenopus* second heart field also expresses many markers associated with the second field in mouse and chick including Tbx1 (T-box transcription factor 1), Fkrl (fetal liver kinase 1), and Isl-1 (Figure 2c).57,58 However, the relative contribution of the second heart field to the poles of the *Xenopus* heart remains to be established.

Similarly, the zebrafish heart tube develops into a 2-chambered organ between 24 and 48 hpf through looping, constriction of the AV canal, and the distinctive bulging that creates the characteristic curvatures of the ventricle and atrium.59 The number of cardiomyocytes increases during this transition, although little proliferation occurs. The accretion of cardiomyocytes is a consequence of recruitment of new, late-differentiating cardiomyocytes to both the arterial and venous poles of the heart tube.60

Altogether, the similarities between the early steps of heart formation in mouse, chick, frog, and fish support the validity of all of these model organisms for investigation of the molecular mechanisms driving cardiac progenitor specification and differentiation.

**Myocardial Specification**

Regional patterning in the epiblast is likely to determine the time at which cells fated to become myocytes undergo gastrulation. Cells fated to become cardiomyocytes are among the first to ingress through the primitive streak.61 The ingressing cells are organized in a colinear alignment from inflow to outflow: atrial (venous pole) progenitors are located most caudally, whereas AV, left ventricular, right ventricular, and conus cardiomyocyte progenitors are located successively more cranially.59,62,63 Transplantation of cell clusters from the epiblast of mouse embryos demonstrated that descendants can contribute to myocardium, endocardium, and epicardium, suggesting progenitors of these lineages are in close proximity in the epiblast.3

Several experiments suggest that cells within the epiblast are not yet committed to specific lineages. Presumptive cardiac epiblast cells from mouse embryos transplanted into chick embryo somites do not undergo cardiomyocyte differentiation, but interaction with cardiogenic mesoderm in proximity to pharyngeal/foregut endoderm is sufficient to convert early primitive streak epiblast cells not normally destined for the heart to a myocardial cell fate.3,64 Ingression during gastrulation may result in restriction of lineage potency, because ingressed cells in the anterolateral plate mesoderm of a midstreak mouse embryo, when transplanted to the epiblast, can undergo ingestion but do not colonize lateral plate mesoderm.3 Consistent with this, cardiogenic mesoderm from late-streak (E7.5) explants transplanted into chick embryo somites differentiate into cardiomyocytes, suggesting that cell fate has become restricted at this stage.64 Retroviral lineage studies in chick embryos showed that myocardial and endocardial progenitors within the early (HH3) primitive streak are already segregated.65,66

In summary, regional signaling in the epiblast positions presumptive cardiac lineages within the posterior epiblast, where they undergo gastrulation from mid- to late-streak stages. The ordered arrangement of presumptive anterior–posterior progenitors may reflect the relative proximity of each progenitor to the node during ingestion, as dictated by their original spatial orientation to each other. Specification and restriction of myocardial cell fate is likely to initiate during gastrulation, and also involves signaling within the cardiac crescent and adjacent pharyngeal endoderm. The time frame for cardiac specification appears to be comparable in both frog and fish: in *Xenopus*, extirpation, explantation, and tissue recombination studies suggest that cardiac specification begins at the onset of gastrulation67–69; in zebrafish, experiments using pharmacological antagonists suggest myocardial specification occurs during gastrulation.70–72
Early Cardiac Specification

As cells ingress through the cranial primitive streak, they are exposed to signaling factors expressed in and around the node, including retinoic acid and FGFs.73–75 FGFs produced by the node can induce expression of Nkx2.5 locally,76 and an ectopic node can induce ventricular myosin heavy chain (vMHC) but not atrial myosin heavy chain (aMHC) expression. Because ventricular progenitors are closer to the node, this suggests that ventricular cardiomyocyte identity may be established in the primitive streak.77

The earliest identified indicator of cardiogenic mesoderm is the transcription factor Mesp1 (mesoderm posterior 1 homolog) expressed at the onset of gastrulation, E6.5 to E7.5.78 However, Mesp1 is not specific for the cardiogenic mesoderm, because Mesp1Cre labeled lineages broadly contribute to multiple mesoderm-derived populations in the embryo. Mesp1 is downstream of Fgfr1 (Fgf receptor 1) signaling, and both Mesp1 and Mesp2 are required for mesodermal ingress during gastrulation. Mutation of Mesp1 results in cardiobifida, and cells that are doubly mutant for Mesp1 and Mesp2 are selectively unable to contribute to the heart, suggesting a critical role in cardiac mesoderm specification. Mesp1 is upstream of a number of cardiac specification transcription factors, including Nkx2.5, Islet1, and myocardin, which begin to be expressed at the cardiac crescent stage.

After gastrulation, molecular markers begin to be useful indicators of the location of the heart fields in cranial lateral plate mesoderm. In Xenopus, the first markers of the cardiac lineage, including Nkx2.5, Tbx5, Tbx20, Gata4, Gata5, and Gata6, are not expressed until neurula stage, when the heart progenitors have moved anteroventrally. However, as in other vertebrate model systems, analysis of the cardiac lineage in Xenopus has confounded by the fact that most of these markers are expressed in neighboring noncardiac tissue or in only a subset of cardiac progenitors.79 Thus, it is the combinatorial expression of these transcription factors that marks cardiac progenitor cells.75 The situation is similar in zebrafish, where the same transcription factors, with the addition of Hand2, combinatorially distinguish the locations of the heart fields.47

As cardiac progenitors move cranial-laterally during gastrulation to arrive at the cardiac crescent, they are exposed to factors produced by endoderm, including FGFs and bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs).75,80 In mouse, expression of Nkx2.5 in the cardiac crescent is dependent on BMP2 signaling.31,82 However, in the second heart field, Nkx2.5 interacts directly with Smad to downregulate BMP2 signaling, which limits the number of cardiomyocytes that differentiate to populate the initial heart tube.82 Signaling through the hedgehog receptor smoothened is also required for the early phase of Nkx2.5 expression in the cardiac crescent, although not for the later phase within the developing heart.83 In Xenopus embryos, inhibition of Wnt signaling in endoderm is required for expression of an as yet unidentified secreted factor required for cardiac specification.84

Key signals specifying myocardial progenitors are likely to be conserved among vertebrate species. Indeed, studies in zebrafish have indicated the importance of the BMP, FGF, and Nodal pathways. For example, swirl (bmp2b), acerbicellar (fgf8), and one-eyed pinhead (oep) mutants all exhibit a decreased number of cardiomyocytes preceded by decreased expression of early markers of myocardial identity, such as nkx2.5.84,85 Similarly, loss of Hedgehog signaling in zebrafish smoothened mutants reduces the number of myocardial progenitors.72 Furthermore, fate-mapping analyses have suggested that loss of Nodal or Hedgehog signaling leads to a loss of cardiac progenitors via cell fate change, rather than through effects on progenitor proliferation.46,72 Integration of BMP, FGF, Nodal, and Hedgehog signals within the zebrafish heart field is likely to trigger commitment to a myocardial fate.

Comparable signaling pathways may also be active in Xenopus, where signals from the embryonic organizer and the endoderm act together to specify the cardiac lineage.67–69 Candidate molecules with either a passive or instructive role in Xenopus cardiac specification include members of the BMP, FGF, and Wnt families. In addition, an inhibitory role in cardiac specification has been proposed for the Notch pathway.86 However, neither activation nor inhibition of any components of these pathways has been shown to block Xenopus heart formation in vivo. Collectively, these studies suggest that cardiac specification in Xenopus depends on either a combination of redundant signal transduction pathways or an as yet unidentified signaling mechanism.

In all the animal models studied, the LIM homeodomain transcription factor Islet1 marks proliferating undifferentiated cardiac progenitors. Islet1 is required for proliferation and survival of second heart field progenitors and may be required for their migration into the heart.87 Early expression of Islet1 is dependent on canonical Wnt signaling through β-catenin and on Fgf8 signaling, both of which are required for proliferation of early cardiac progenitors.88–90 Wnt2a, -2b, and -8 are expressed in appropriate regions and may serve as ligands in the mouse.91–93 Expression of a mesodermal enhancer of Islet1 is dependent on 3 conserved consensus Forkhead transcription factor binding sites.94 Islet is upstream of expression of BMP4, BMP7, and Fgf10 and regulates expression of Sonic hedgehog (Shh) in pharyngeal endoderm, all of which may contribute to its role in driving proliferation. Mutants in which Fgf8 is ablated by Mesp1Cre exhibit arterial pole defects, reflecting the required function of Fgf8 in arterial pole progenitors of the second heart field. By contrast, Islet1 mutants have both arterial and venous pole defects.95,96 In chick explants, FGF does not appear to enhance proliferation in outflow progenitors but is more likely to suppress myocardial differentiation and promote smooth muscle differentiation, suggesting perhaps an earlier role for Fgf8 in proliferation of mesodermal progenitors.97

Several experiments suggest a pivotal role for GATA4 or GATA5 in cardiomyocyte specification. In zebrafish embryos, ectopic expression of Gata5 is sufficient to specify noncardiogenic mesoderm to a cardiogenic fate and ectopic Gata4 can induce myocardial differentiation of Xenopus ectodermal explants, in the absence of endoderm.99 Recent experiments in gastrulating mouse embryos demonstrated that ectopic expression of the chromatin remodeling factor Baf60c and GATA4 is sufficient to specify noncardiogenic to cardio-
genic mesoderm, and the addition of Tbx5 to these 2 factors is sufficient to promote cardiomyocyte differentiation.\(^\text{100}\)

Whereas some factors specify cardiogenic mesoderm, others restrict the cardiogenic field. Retinoic acid signaling imposes the posterior limit of the early cardiac crescent. In chick embryos, exposure to retinoic acid produces atrial expansion.\(^\text{101}\) Mutation of the retinoic acid–synthesizing enzyme retinaldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (RALDH2) in mouse results in expansion of Isl1 and Fgf8 expression and consequent enlargement of the heart.\(^\text{102,103}\) Similarly, in zebrafish, mutation of raldh2 causes formation of large hearts composed of too many cardiomyocytes.\(^\text{104}\) Fate mapping demonstrated that this myocardial surplus results from a fate transformation that increases myocardial progenitor density within the forming heart field.\(^\text{104}\) Intriguingly, an increased number of cardiomyocytes is also observed in embryos lacking function of hoxb5b, a retinoic acid–responsive gene expressed within the forelimb field, which is located just posterior to the heart field.\(^\text{105}\) Thus, it seems that retinoic acid signaling restricts the developmental potential of the heart field, at least in part, through its influences on neighboring territories of lateral mesoderm. Wnt signaling also inhibits cardiac progenitor specification within the heart field, although it has also been shown to have a positive influence at earlier stages.\(^\text{106}\) Additional pathways that control endothelial and hematopoietic specification contribute to the restriction of myocardial progenitor specification. Ectopic cardiomyocytes and enlarged hearts form in zebrafish mutants that lack vessel and blood lineages, and fate mapping demonstrated that this phenotype is caused by transformation of a cranial region of lateral mesoderm that normally produces endothelial and hematopoietic progenitor cells.\(^\text{45}\) It is not yet clear how the network of multiple inductive and repressive pathways is interwoven to produce the proper number of myocardial progenitors.

**Left–Right Patterning**

The heart exhibits left–right asymmetry, critical for a number of aspects of cardiogenesis.\(^\text{55}\) Humans with laterality defects exhibit abnormal venous and arterial connections of the heart. Evidence of left–right patterning in both chick and mouse can be observed in asymmetrical expression of a number of genes at late gastrulation.\(^\text{107,108}\) Left-sided nodal expression in perinodal tissue is correlated with left-sided expression of Nodal, Lefty, and Pitx2 in lateral mesoderm associated with cardiogenic mesoderm.\(^\text{109,110}\)

Selective contribution of left and right heart field progenitors to specific regions of the heart is determined by complex morphogenetic movements, regulated by differential cell proliferation and cell shape changes driven by distinct genetic programs within left and right progenitors. We are just beginning to understand the manner in which laterality cues drive these specific cell behaviors to shape organ morphogenesis and laterality. An interesting example of this is demonstrated by studies in zebrafish.\(^\text{55}\) An early manifestation of asymmetrical morphogenesis in zebrafish is the leftward jogging of the heart tube, which is dependent on asymmetrical BMP signaling, with greater signaling activity on the left. BMP signaling acts to direct the relative speed and direction of cardiomyocyte migration. Simultaneously with the onset of jogging, myocardial cells on the right side of the cardiac cone involute and move ventrally in a cranial and leftward direction. In this way, cardiomyocytes derived from the right heart field form the ventral part of the cardiac tube, whereas cardiomyocytes derived from the left heart field contribute to the dorsal roof of the tube. Perturbation of left–right signaling pathways affects the orientation of tissue involution and heart tube jogging.

Similar imposition of left–right patterning to dorsal and ventral domains within the heart occurs in other model organisms. Left and right heart field progenitors meet in the ventral midline. In the frog, cells that express a newly described gene called Castor populate the midline. This population is important for fusion of the 2 sides in *Xenopus* and may be important in maintaining a boundary between derivatives of the left and right heart fields in the left ventricle.\(^\text{111}\) In chick and mouse, once the dorsal seam has closed and the dorsal mesocardium regressed, the looping tube rotates 90° to the right. This brings the left heart field–derived myocardium on the left ventricle to the ventral surface of the looped tube, whereas right heart field–derived myocardium is turned dorsally.\(^\text{10}\) Even though the ventricles are from both heart fields, the cells from left and right do not mix at the greater and lesser curvatures.\(^\text{10}\) It is not known whether this arrangement is maintained by cells that are added to the tube to form the outflow tract myocardium.

Expression of Pitx2c in the heart delineates structures derived from left lateral plate mesoderm, which include the left AV canal, the inner curvature, the ventral part of the interventricular ring, the ventral portion of the right and left ventricles, and the left atrial appendage.\(^\text{112,114}\) Available data suggest that left and right atria are derived from left and right heart fields, respectively.\(^\text{10,115}\) DiI labeling of the cardiogenic fields demonstrated that progenitor cells in the right or left caudal second heart field contribute to the right or left atrium, respectively.

Some atrial myocytes are contributed from the first heart field, but the atria and atrial septum are substantially supplemented by addition of cells from the second heart field.\(^\text{33,37,116}\) The derivation of atrial cardiomyocytes is very diverse and encompasses at least 4 different lineages, including AV myocardium, which shifts into the atrium during later development; atrial myocardium derived from the first heart field; and myocardium incorporated from the sinus venosus and pulmonary veins, both of which are derived from second heart field.\(^\text{113,117}\)

Explant experiments with transgenic mouse embryos, in which a transgene marks the right atrium, show that atrial progenitor cells acquire right–left identity between the 4- and 6-somite stages, at the time when Pitx2c is first expressed. Pitx2 functions in second heart field myocardium to repress right atrial identity and proliferation of cells around the left sinus venosus.\(^\text{118}\) Myocardial specific ablation of Pitx2 results in abnormal persistence of BMP10 expression in fetal left atrium, resulting in an enlarged left atrium exhibiting right atrial morphology.\(^\text{119}\) Consistent with right atrial isomerism previously observed in Pitx2 global-null embryos,\(^\text{113}\) It is interesting to speculate that Pitx2 may also restrict growth of...
ventricular cells derived from progenitors that received left-sided cues earlier in development.

Shh is necessary for the production of asymmetry in the developing embryo. Fate-mapping studies with an inducible Gli1-CreERT2 (glioblastoma 1–estrogen replacement therapy 2) demonstrated that hedgehog-receiving progenitors migrate from the second heart field to populate the right heart–derived subpulmonary myocardium.116 Shh-null embryos exhibit bilateral expression of Pitx2c at arterial and venous poles of the heart, suggesting that Shh signaling normally suppresses Pitx2c expression on the right.120 Consistent with this, Shh mutants also exhibit left atrial isomerism.

Consistent with left–right information being important for normal patterning of the outflow tract, Pitx2 is upstream of a genetic cascade, including Wnt11 and transforming growth factor (TGF)β2, which is required for correct alignment of the great arteries with their respective ventricles.121 Pitx2 interacts with β-catenin to activate expression of Wnt11, which then acts through a noncanonical Wnt signaling pathway to activate transcription of TGFβ2. Vangl2 (vang-like 2), a component of the noncanonical planar cell polarity pathway (PCP), is also required. Loop-tail (Lp) mice, which have mutations in Vangl2, exhibit double outlet right ventricle and aortic arch defects.122 As with Wnt11 and TGFβ2, Vangl2 is required for the polarization and movement of myocardializing cells into the outflow tract cushions, and RhoA (Ras homolog gene family) and ROCK1 (Rho-associated; coiled-coil containing protein kinase 1) are downstream mediators of the PCP signaling pathway in the developing outflow tract.122

Tbx1 is coexpressed with Pitx2 in second heart field cells, and Pitx2 expression is downregulated in Tbx1 mutants, suggesting a role for Tbx1 in asymmetrical cardiac morphogenesis, even though Tbx1 expression is not asymmetrical.123 Asymmetrical expression of Pitx2 is regulated by a Tbx1-Nkx2.5 binding enhancer. Semaphorin3C-expressing subpulmonary myocardium is reduced and malpositioned in Tbx1 mutant hearts, consistent with perturbation of left–right patterning.124

Cardiomyocyte Differentiation

At the arterial pole of the heart, Crkl1 (Crk-like protein 1) and Tbx1 positively regulate expression of retinoic acid–metabolizing enzymes and negatively regulate RALDH2, reducing local concentrations of retinoic acid in second heart field progenitors that will contribute to anterior segments of the heart.129 Increased retinoic acid in Crkl1 and Tbx1 mutants affects pharyngeal segmentation and patterning along the craniocaudal axis.129,130 In turn, retinoic acid represses Tbx1 expression in second heart field progenitors that will contribute to posterior segments of the heart. It is interesting to note that Tbx1 appears at the intersection between craniocaudal and left–right patterning.

Craniocaudal Patterning

Although some of the cues involved in craniocaudal patterning during myocardial specification have been elucidated, we know relatively little mechanistically as to how craniocaudal patterning is integrated by developing myocardial cells. A key regulator of craniocaudal patterning in the embryo generally, and for myocardial cells specifically, is retinoic acid. As discussed above, at early stages of cardiac specification, retinoic acid signaling restricts the heart field. At later stages, a posterior-to-anterior gradient of retinoic acid signaling is important for normal patterning of both posterior and anterior segments of the heart.

Tbx5 expression is downstream of retinoic acid signaling and is selectively expressed within the left ventricle and atria. Higher levels of expression of Tbx5 in atria than in left ventricle suggest that levels of Tbx5 reflect a retinoic acid gradient important for chamber specialization.125,126 Ectopic expression of Tbx5 using a ventricular-specific promoter results in ectopic expression of atrial genes. Tbx5 may also define a boundary between right and left ventricles, which is important for placement of the ventricular septum.127,128

Consistent with left–right information being important for normal patterning of the outflow tract, Pitx2 is upstream of a genetic cascade, including Wnt11 and transforming growth factor (TGF)β2, which is required for correct alignment of the great arteries with their respective ventricles.121 Pitx2 interacts with β-catenin to activate expression of Wnt11, which then acts through a noncanonical Wnt signaling pathway to activate transcription of TGFβ2. Vangl2 (vang-like 2), a component of the noncanonical planar cell polarity pathway (PCP), is also required. Loop-tail (Lp) mice, which have mutations in Vangl2, exhibit double outlet right ventricle and aortic arch defects.122 As with Wnt11 and TGFβ2, Vangl2 is required for the polarization and movement of myocardializing cells into the outflow tract cushions, and RhoA (Ras homolog gene family) and ROCK1 (Rho-associated; coiled-coil containing protein kinase 1) are downstream mediators of the PCP signaling pathway in the developing outflow tract.122

Tbx1 is coexpressed with Pitx2 in second heart field cells, and Pitx2 expression is downregulated in Tbx1 mutants, suggesting a role for Tbx1 in asymmetrical cardiac morphogenesis, even though Tbx1 expression is not asymmetrical.123 Asymmetrical expression of Pitx2 is regulated by a Tbx1-Nkx2.5 binding enhancer. Semaphorin3C-expressing subpulmonary myocardium is reduced and malpositioned in Tbx1 mutant hearts, consistent with perturbation of left–right patterning.124

Craniocaudal Patterning

Although some of the cues involved in craniocaudal patterning during myocardial specification have been elucidated, we know relatively little mechanistically as to how craniocaudal patterning is integrated by developing myocardial cells. A key regulator of craniocaudal patterning in the embryo generally, and for myocardial cells specifically, is retinoic acid. As discussed above, at early stages of cardiac specification, retinoic acid signaling restricts the heart field. At later stages, a posterior-to-anterior gradient of retinoic acid signaling is important for normal patterning of both posterior and anterior segments of the heart.

Tbx5 expression is downstream of retinoic acid signaling and is selectively expressed within the left ventricle and atria. Higher levels of expression of Tbx5 in atria than in left ventricle suggest that levels of Tbx5 reflect a retinoic acid gradient important for chamber specialization.125,126 Ectopic expression of Tbx5 using a ventricular-specific promoter results in ectopic expression of atrial genes. Tbx5 may also define a boundary between right and left ventricles, which is important for placement of the ventricular septum.127,128
Hedgehog signaling is required for proliferation of second heart field progenitors and is required to prevent differentiation of second heart field cells, as evidenced by premature differentiation of second heart field progenitors following ablation of the smoothened receptor with a second heart field Cre. Downregulation of another pathway involved in proliferation of second heart field progenitors, Wnt/beta catenin, is required for differentiation of myocardial progenitors, as evidenced by lack of differentiation when beta catenin is constitutively activated selectively in cardiac progenitors.

Although beta catenin is initially required for proliferation of undifferentiated progenitors, beta catenin also directly regulates expression of Wnt11, which stimulates a noncanonical Wnt pathway to effect critical aspects of cell polarity as myocardin genes. Multiple loci encode miR-1 and miR-133, which are derived from a common precursor transcript. SRF regulates expression of microRNA (miR)-1 and miR-133, that promote cell cycle withdrawal. Hypoxia pathways may promote cell cycle withdrawal. Overexpression of miR-1 in the Nkx2-5 expression domain results in failure to initiate cardiac beating. SRF regulates expression of microRNA (miR)-1 and miR-133, which are derived from a common precursor transcript. SRF interacts with GATA4 and Nkx2.5 to regulate cardiac genes. Multiple loci encode miR-1 and miR-133, including miR-1-1, miR-1-2, miR-133a-1, miR-133a-2, and miR133b. MiRNAs are evolutionarily conserved small, noncoding RNA molecules that function posttranscriptionally to negatively mRNA translation and stability thus playing critical roles in heart development. In keeping with the role of SRF in promoting differentiation, miR-1 and miR-133 may promote cell cycle withdrawal. Overexpression of miR-1 under the control of the beta MHC promoter induces premature exit from cell cycle, in part by inhibiting translation of Hand2. Hand2-null mutants die at E10.5 with right ventricular hypoplasia and decreased trabeculation in the left ventricle. Loss of miR-1-2 in mice, resulting in a 50% decrease in total miR-1, results in partial lethality between E15.5 and birth, with ventricular septal defects and cardiac dysfunction. Loss of miR-133a-1 and miR-133a-2 results in excessive cardiac proliferation, partial lethality, and ventricular septal defects, similar to miR-1-2 mutants.

A hypoxia-driven pathway stimulates myofibrillogenesis. Ablation of HIF1alpha (hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha) in differentiating myocytes results in lack of differentiation, owing to decreased titin expression. The contractile apparatus cannot be assembled in its absence.

In summary, downregulation of beta catenin and Shh, BMP, and noncanonical Wnt signaling are required to promote cardiomyocyte differentiation. Regulation of myofibrillar gene expression is driven by SRF in concert with Nkx2.5 and GATA factors. SRF also regulates expression of microRNAs that promote cell cycle withdrawal. Hypoxia pathways may also contribute to myocyte differentiation.

Chamber Versus Nonchamber Myocardium
As morphogenesis of the heart proceeds from the early phases of differentiation, the myocardium becomes subdivided into either chamber (ventricles, atria) or nonchamber (outflow tract, AV canal, inner curvature, central conduction system) myocardium. Nonchamber myocardium, similar to early differentiated cardiomyocytes, is relatively nonproliferative, whereas chamber myocardium undergoes a “ballooning” phase of growth, beginning at about E9.5, that is driven by signals from both endocardium and epicardium.

Delineation of chamber and nonchamber myocardium is achieved in part by expression of a T-box transcription factor code, including Tbx2, Tbx3, Tbx20, Tbx5, and Tbx18, which imparts regional myocyte identity and coordinately regulates regionally appropriate levels of proliferation. T-box genes confer regional myocyte identity both by activating expression of identity-appropriate genes and by repressing expression of identity-inappropriate genes.

Localized expression of Tbx2 confers AV canal identity to the region separating the ventricle from the atrium, repressing expression of the chamber-specific genes atrial natriuretic factor (ANF and Nppa [atrial natriuretic peptide precursor]), chisel, connexin (Cx)40, and Cx43, and repressing proliferation. Tbx2 represses regulatory fragments of Cx40, Cx43, and Nppa, interacting with Nkx2.5 to repress expression of the latter. Ectopic expression of Tbx2 in chamber myocardium results in repression of chamber specific genes. Tbx2 is also required for development of the outflow tract.

Although active expression of Tbx2 within the heart is restricted to the AV canal and outflow tract, recent genetic lineage tracing with a Tbx2Cre has revealed that Tbx2 lineages make an unexpectedly large contribution to Tbx2-negative ventricles, with the embryonic left ventricle only forming the left part of the definitive ventricular septum and the apex. Tbx2 lineages also contribute to the AV node but not the AV bundle. In the outflow tract, Tbx2 lineages formed the right ventricle and right part of the ventricular septum.

Tbx3 is coexpressed with Tbx2 in outflow tract progenitors and AV canal and is selectively expressed in the central conduction system. Tbx3 is required for normal alignment of the outflow tract and normal ventricular septation. Tbx3 is also required for specification of the AV conduction system, at least in part by repressing expression of chamber specific genes.

Tbx20, which is expressed throughout the developing heart, is required for proliferation and expression of chamber myocardial genes and represses expression of Tbx2 in ventricular chamber myocardium. Tbx5, expressed in atria and left ventricle, is required to maintain expression of Nppa and Cx40. Tbx18 is expressed in sinus venosus myocardium and in a subset of SA nodal cells and is required in both lineages for their normal development.

Patterning of the AV Canal
The nonchamber myocardium of the AV canal performs several specialized functions during heart development, serving as a slowconducting “sphincter” in the early heart tube and, later, actively participating in signaling to form cardiac cushions and partition the heart. Patterning of AV myocardi-
um is also likely to affect development of the central conduction system, the AV node and associated structures.

Many details of the molecular pathway that regulates specification of AV canal myocardium have been worked out. Normal AV patterning requires myocardial expression of BMP4 and BMP2.161,162 An important role of BMP4 may be to activate transcription of the forkhead transcription factor Foxn4 (ForkheadN 4) because studies in zebrafish embryos have demonstrated that Foxn4 directly regulates expression of Tbx2 in the AV canal.163 BMP2 also signals directly to cushion-forming endocardium to induce epithelial–mesenchymal transformation, at least in part, by activating expression of Tbx2.162,164 This has been demonstrated genetically with mice that are compound heterozygous null for Bmp2 and Bmp4; these mice are embryonic lethal, exhibiting outflow tract malalignment and defective septation of atria, ventricles, and AV canal, revealing novel functions of BMP2 in concert with BMP4.165 Msx1 genes are not only a target of BMP2, but both regulate and cooperate with Tbx2 to pattern AV myocardium and to effect AV cushion formation.166 Msx1 and Msx2 can directly interact with Tbx2 and Tbx3 to suppress Cx43 promoter activity.167

BMP2 expression in mouse, and BMP4 expression in zebrafish, is restricted to the developing AV canal and inner curvature, at least in part, through the activity of Notch2 and Hey proteins.168 For example, in mice, embryos mutant for Hey2 exhibit an expanded atrioventricular canal domain of BMP2 and zebrafish mutants in Hey2 (aka gridlock) show ectopic expression of BMP4. Conversely, in developing chick heart, ectopic activation of Notch2 signaling, or misexpression of either Hey1 or Hey2, represses BMP2. Moreover, ectopic expression of Tbx2 inhibits expression of Hey1 and Hey2. Likewise, mouse embryos ectopically expressing Hey1 throughout the heart display poorly defined boundaries of the AV canal, and expression levels of AV myocardial markers BMP2 and Tbx2 are weak or undetectable, although in this case, independent of Notch2 signaling.169 Taken together, these studies suggest that Tbx2 expression may sharpen the border of developing AV canal and inner curvature by restricting Hey gene expression and hence BMP2 and BMP4 to prospective chamber regions.

Myocardial identity can also regulate regionally by microRNAs. For example, miR-138 is expressed in AV canal in zebrafish heart and plays a role in establishing the AV canal myocardium.170 Disruption of miR-138 function leads to ventricular expansion of gene expression normally restricted to the AV canal and disrupted ventricular cardiomyocyte morphology and function.

In summary, data are consistent, with a model in which Hey1 and Hey2 expression bordering the AV canal restricts BMP2 to AV canal myocardium. BMP signaling in turn activates expression of Tbx2, Msx1, and Msx2, which cooperate to regulate the AV myocardial phenotype. How Foxn4 interacts with BMP signaling remains to be determined.

Cardiac Conduction System
The specialized cardiac conduction system is comprised of central slow-conducting components, including the SA node, AV node, His bundle and bundle branches, and fast-conducting components, including internodal tracts and Purkinje fibers.171–173 A number of connexins are specifically expressed within certain components of the cardiac conduction system and confer fast- or slow-conducting properties.174–176 Cx45 and Cx30.2 are expressed within nodal tissues and Cx40 within specialized fast-conducting tissues, with some Cx40 expression within the AV node. High-conductance channels Cx43 and Cx40 are expressed within the working myocardium of the atria and ventricles. Recently, a number of transcription factors important for conduction system formation have been described, including Nkx2.5, Shox2 (Short stature homeobox gene 2), Hop, Iroquois (Irx)4/Irx5, Tbx2, Tbx3, Tbx18, Tbx5, and Id2. Their role in conduction system formation has been recently reviewed.177,178

Viral lineage-tracing studies in chick embryos showed that conduction cells are progressively recruited from cardiomyogenic cells, with recruitment to elements of the central conduction system (eg, the His bundle) preceding recruitment to the peripheral components of the network (ie, subendocardial and periarterial Purkinje fibers).179–181 Birth dating studies in rodents suggest an analogous recruitment process occurs.182

Factors secreted by endothelial cells appear to be important for recruitment of myocardial cells into Purkinje fiber lineages and, at least in zebrafish, for AV conduction system formation. In chick embryos, endothelin secreted by coronary vascular cells and endocardium can influence recruitment of myocardial cells into the Purkinje fiber lineage.183 Intriguingly, stretch influences the processing and secretion of endothelin-1, and stretch/flow activates the expression of ECE-1 (endothelin-converting enzyme-1). This in turn regulates recruitment of myocardial cells into the Purkinje fiber lineage.184 In mouse embryos, neuregulin, which is secreted by endocardium, can recruit myocardial cells into the Purkinje fiber lineages.185 Endocardial signals are also important for formation of the AV conduction ring in zebrafish heart, and neuregulin and Notch1b are required for formation of this central conduction tissue.186

Although a number of transcription factors are known to pattern the conduction system, we know relatively little about the signaling pathways required for conduction system formation, or the manner in which these signaling pathways crosstalk with the transcription factors that are important for conduction system specification.

Chamber Regionalization/Specialization
As discussed above, patterning, in large part by T-box genes, differentiates chamber myocardium from nonchamber myocardium. However, within chamber myocardium, subregionalization is also important to confer atrial or ventricular identity, or to discriminate between left and right atria or ventricles. A number of early studies suggested that both selective transcriptional activation and repression are important for conferring regional chamber identity. Early studies of myofibrillar protein mRNA expression in developing mouse heart demonstrated that many myofibrillar protein isoforms are first expressed throughout early differentiating myocardium and then become selectively downregulated in either
atrial or ventricular chambers, within a time frame specific to each isoform.\textsuperscript{187,188} Three myosin genes that become transcriptionally restricted to the mouse atria between E12.5 and birth are coordinately downregulated in compact myocardium of the left ventricle before that of the right ventricle.\textsuperscript{111} Other isoforms exhibit more restricted domain-specific expression from the beginning, including MLC2v in mouse and ventricular myosin heavy chain in zebrafish.\textsuperscript{53,188} Analysis of transgene expression patterns has also revealed regional transcriptional regulation.\textsuperscript{189} An MLC3F-nlacZ-2E transgene is expressed in embryonic right atrium, AV canal, and left ventricle, whereas an MLC3F-nlacZ-9 transgene is expressed in atria, ventricles, and AV canal but not in inflow and outflow tracts.\textsuperscript{190}

In keeping with these observations, a number of transcription factors have been described that regulate activation or repression of region-specific gene expression. Tbx5 plays an important role in conferring atrial identity and establishing the boundary between left and right ventricles. Irx4 is a ventricle-specific transcription factor that confers ventricular identity by activating ventricular-specific gene expression and by repressing atrial-specific gene expression.\textsuperscript{191,192} The basic helix-loop-helix transcriptional repressor Hey2 is expressed specifically in ventricular myocytes and confers ventricular identity.\textsuperscript{193} Cardiomyocyte-specific ablation of Hey2 results in ectopic activation of atrial genes in ventricular myocardium, with an associated impairment of cardiac contractility and a unique distortion in morphology of the right ventricular chamber. Forced expression of Hey2 in atrial cardiomyocytes is sufficient to repress atrial cardiac genes.

In the mouse, the basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor Hand2 is expressed in cardiac precursors throughout the cardiac crescent and the linear heart tube, before becoming restricted to the right ventricular chamber at the onset of looping. A Hand2 enhancer that directs lacZ expression in this pattern requires 2 conserved GATA factor consensus sites for expression in right ventricle, but because GATAs are expressed universally by myocardial cells, this does not explain why Hand2 expression is limited to the right ventricle.\textsuperscript{194} Hand1 is selectively expressed in the left ventricle, and, as for Tbx5, the boundary between Hand1-expressing and -nonexpressing myocardium may affect formation of the ventricular septum.\textsuperscript{195} Hand1 and Hand2 are critical for ventricular chamber expansion, because ablation of both transcription factors in heart severely reduces ventricular tissue.\textsuperscript{196}

Thus, regional chamber identity is regulated by transcription factors that both activate and restrict gene expression to confer chamber identity. Epigenetic mechanisms are also likely to be involved in maintaining patterning of the mammalian myocardium.\textsuperscript{197} Maintenance of Mlc3f transgene expression is regulated by a cell-autonomous mechanism that is independent of DNA methylation, trichostatin A–sensitive histone deacetylation, or misexpression of transcription factors that are expressed in left or right ventricles of embryonic heart. This recalcitrance to ectopic activation is suggestive of a “locked” epigenetic configuration, similar to silencing mediated by polycomb in Drosophila.

### Epicardium and Myocardial Lineages

As discussed briefly above, cells from the proepicardium migrate onto the early looping heart to give rise to the epicardium. One of the roles of the developing epicardium is to promote proliferation of underlying myocardium. Lineage studies in avians demonstrated that epicardial cells undergo epithelial–mesenchymal transformation and delaminate from the epicardium to enter the myocardium to give rise to cells of the coronary vasculature and interstitial fibroblasts.\textsuperscript{198,199} It is likely that each of these cell types is involved in crosstalk with myocardial cells, and in fact embryonic cardiac fibroblasts have recently been shown to promote myocardial proliferation.\textsuperscript{200} Myocardial signaling is reciprocally important for development of coronary vessels, which arise in part from epicardial lineages. Crosstalk between the epicardium and myocardium has recently been reviewed in depth in an article in the same series\textsuperscript{201} and will not be discussed further here. Here, we focus on recent studies that have suggested that epicardial lineages have the potential to adopt cardiomyocyte cell fates.

Proepicardial progenitors are contiguous with progenitors that will give rise to sinus venosus myocardium, and both sets of progenitors express the T-box transcription factor Tbx18.\textsuperscript{202,203} Studies in chick embryos have suggested that the ability of Tbx18 progenitors in this domain to adopt either cardiomyocyte or proepicardial fate is based on differential BMP or FGF signaling, respectively.\textsuperscript{204–206} Proepicardial cells can adopt a cardiomyocyte cell fate when BMP-Smad signaling is activated in the context of inhibition of FGF signaling via mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1/2.

Lineage studies in mouse embryos using 2 distinct epicardial-Cre mouse lines, Tbx18-Cre and WT1-Cre, and fluorescent tracker dye labeling of epicardial cells in heart organ explant cultures have suggested that, in addition to cardiac fibroblasts and coronary vascular support cells, epicardial lineages may contribute to a subset of cardiomyocyte lineages.\textsuperscript{40} Clonal analysis of proepicardial progenitors demonstrated that single clones were able to give rise to both smooth muscle cells and cardiomyocytes in vitro. In the same culture conditions, however, adult epicardial cells were able to adopt smooth muscle but not cardiomyocyte cell fate.\textsuperscript{40} Because Cre lineage studies are subject to uncertainties concerning expression domains of the Cre-driver,\textsuperscript{41} the question of whether epicardial lineages contribute to myocardial lineages in mouse embryos awaits “bona fide” in vivo lineage tracing studies. In this regard, Kispert and colleagues have recently shown at the Weinstein Meeting that Tbx18 is expressed in the left ventricle and ventricular septum, as well as in the epicardium, thus leaving open the question of an epicardium-derived cardiomyocyte lineage.\textsuperscript{207}

The foregoing suggests that proepicardial lineages have the capacity to be directed toward a myocardial cell fate, at least in vitro, whereas later-stage epicardial cells may have lost this ability. Understanding genetic differences between proepicardial and adult epicardial cells that are responsible for differences in ability to adopt cardiomyocyte cell fates may have important implications for utilization of epicardial cells for cardiac repair.
Growth Signals From Endocardium
As with the epicardium, growth factor signaling from endocardium to myocardium is responsible for stimulation of myocardial proliferation following cardiac looping. The early ventricular myocardium is composed of trabeculae, fingerlike projections of myocardium that are lined by endocardium, the specialized vascular endothelium lining the heart. This structure provides for a high endocardial cell/myocardial cell ratio.

Neuregulin 1 secreted by endocardial cells activates ErbB2 (erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2) and ErbB4 receptors in myocardial cells to promote formation of trabecular myocardium.\(^{208-210}\) Serotonin signaling through 5-hydroxytryptamine 2B (5-HT\(_{2B}\)) receptors is required for expression of ErbB2 in ventricular myocardium, and mutants of 5-HT\(_{2B}\) receptors exhibit impaired trabeculation.\(^{211}\) Notch signaling within endocardium functions upstream of neuregulin 1, with mutants for Notch 1 and the transcriptional effector downstream of Notch, RbpJ, exhibiting impaired trabeculation and decreased myocardial proliferation.\(^{212}\) Thus, Notch signaling in endocardium is required for expression of EphrinB2, which in turn activates expression of neuregulin 1.

Endocardial Notch signaling also activates expression of BMP10 in myocardium, which is required for trabecular proliferation. BMP10-null mice display ectopic and elevated expression of p57(kip2) and a dramatic reduction in proliferative activity in cardiomyocytes at E9.5. BMP10 is also required for maintaining normal expression levels of Nkx2.5 and Mef2C (myocyte enhancer factor 2C) in developing myocardium at midgestation.\(^{213}\) Myocardial-specific ablation of Nkx2.5 causes increased expression of BMP10, resulting in overproliferation of trabecular,\(^{214}\) suggesting a negative-feedback loop from BMP10 to Nkx2.5 that is required for regulation of normal trabecular growth. It is interesting that this echoes an earlier BMP-Nkx2.5 feedback loop required during early cardiac specification.\(^{82}\)

FGF signaling from either epicardium or endocardium stimulates myocardial proliferation. FGF9, FGF16, and FGF20 are expressed in endocardium, as well as epicardium, and endocardially derived FGF signals regulate myocardial proliferation during midgestation heart development.\(^{215}\) Ablation of FGF16 results in embryonic lethality, with poor trabeculation and thin-walled myocardium.\(^{216}\)

Modulation of extracellular matrix by proteases secreted by endocardium can also modulate myocardial growth. For example, ADAMTS1 (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with a thrombospondin type 1 motif) is a metalloprotease normally expressed in the matrix associated with the endocardium at later stages of trabecular development, when trabecular growth is slowing.\(^{217}\) Ablation of the chromatin-remodeling protein Brg1 results in precocious expression of ADAMTS1 and, thus, inhibits early trabecular growth.

In summary, Notch signaling within endocardium activates midgestation myocardial growth through 2 pathways. Endocardial Notch signaling upregulates EphrinB2 required to upregulate endocardial Neuregulin, which binds to myocardial ErbB2/ErbB4 receptors to stimulate growth. Notch signaling within endocardium also upregulates BMP10 in myocardium, through as yet unknown mediators. Serotonin, FGF, and protease pathways are also critical to the crosstalk between endocardium and myocardium at midgestation to regulate proliferation of myocardium. Although FGF and BMP signaling are also important for proliferation of cardiac progenitors before differentiation, ErbB2/ErbB4 signaling appears to be specific for proliferation of differentiated cardiomyocytes. It will be important to investigate commonalities and differences between endocardial and epicardial pathways that crosstalk to cardiomyocytes. It is interesting to speculate that differential stimulation of pathways from either source may influence endocardial to epicardial gradients of gene expression.

Summary
The journey of a prospective myocardial epiblast cell to a highly specialized cardiomyocyte is a “long and winding road.” Proximity to signals emanating from the node (retinoic acid, nodal) may impart initial cranio-caudal and left–right axis information to the presumptive cardiomyocyte, which will be reinforced later by signals from lateral plate mesoderm and genetic pathways within cardiogenic progenitors and cardiomyocytes themselves. Axial-patterning programs are likely to drive individual cell migratory and proliferative behavior. Reliance on and proximity to endodermal signals during development is another theme for presumptive cardiomyocytes, including early position in the epiblast, during initial ingestion at gastrulation, and later when the cardiac crescent forms adjacent to definitive anterior endoderm. As cardiogenic progenitors enter the heart to become myocytes, they are in proximity to other cardiac cell types, including endocardial and epicardial lineages, that are also involved in signaling and crosstalk to influence myocardial development.

Becoming a specialized cardiomyocyte is a complex ordered process involving specification, differentiation, and specialization. This process involves an ordered activation and repression of growth factor signaling pathways, regulated by transcription factor regulation of ligands, receptors, transcription factors, and microRNAs. Activation of a particular pathway has several outcomes, the most immediate goal of stimulating proliferation, cell motility/polarity, differentiation, or further specialization and, at the same time, the initiation of the next phase in the cascade, which in turn downregulates activation of the initial pathway, ensuring progression. During and after chamber formation, signaling to establish and maintain specialization and proliferation of the myocardium to form trabeculae and other specializations falls to the endocardium and epicardium. Maintenance of the specialized state is also likely to require active signaling processes.

Much of our work to date has focused on the earliest stages of heart development, whereas less is known about the signaling pathways required for maturation of cardiomyocytes from late fetal stages onward. Understanding the complexities of building cardiac tissue from these stages will be of great future interest and will inform research aimed at cardiac tissue engineering.
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